EXPERIMENTELLE ABLEITUNG EINES SIMPLIFIZIERTEN MATERIALMODELLS FÜR KURZFASERVERSTÄRKTE THERMOPLASTE UNTER CRASHBELASTUNG



Felix Dillenberger, M. Sc. Fraunhofer-Institut für Betriebsfestigkeit und Systemzuverlässigkeit LBF www.lbf.fraunhofer.de

A SIMPLIFIED MATERIAL MODEL FOR SHORT FIBRE REINFORCED THERMOPLASTICS IN CRASHWORTHINESS APPLICATIONS

Felix Dillenberger¹, Stefan Kolling², Jens Schneider³





¹ Fraunhofer LBF, ² THM Gießen, ³ TU Darmstadt © Fraunhofer LBF

CONTENTS

Introduction

Experiments

- Microstructure
- Mechanical
- Material Modelling
 - Micro Mechanical Modelling
 - Phenomenological Modelling
 - Implementation
- 2018.01.03_4aTT2018
 - Summary and Outlook



Short Fibre Reinforced Thermoplastics

Introduction/ Motivation

- Short fibre reinforcement leads to complex inhomogeneous anisotropic material behaviour depending on
- In flow orientation

- **Process parameters**
- Part geometry
- Material properties
- Prediction of part behaviour by FE simulation
 - Information on fibre orientation necessary
 - Injection moulding simulation
 - or clustering/segmentation of specific regions
 - Adequate material model necessary



Evaluation of Typical Fibre Orientations

Injection Moulding of Specific Elements

- Assumption of typical fiber orientations in specific zones
- Specially developed flexible injection mould, for the analysis and classification of specific elements
 - Plates of variable thickness with Interchangeable inserts: easy processing, low production costs for new inserts
 - Variable Locking points: adjustable angle of flow



Anisotropy distribution has to be taken into account in material modelling



MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION



Material Selection

- Materials
 - PP^A: Polypropylene (MOPLEN HP500N) + additives
 - **PPGF30^A**: **PP^A** + 30% glass fibres ($l < 1 mm, D \sim 13 \mu m$)
 - Compouded in-house, identical additives in matrix material and composite
 - Fibre influence distinguishable

- Distinctly different orientation states:
 - Plate (□): non UD
 - ⊢ Flat Bar (□): ~ UD





Optical measurement of local strains: Grey-scale-correlation

Quasistatic and dynamic testing speeds, T 23°C





- Optical measurement of local strains: Grey-scale-correlation (3D DIC)
- Quasistatic and dynamic testing speeds, T 23°C





max Seite 9

- Optical measurement of local strains: Grey-scale-correlation (3D DIC)
- Quasistatic and dynamic testing speeds, T 23°C





max Seite 10

- Optical measurement of local strains: Grey-scale-correlation (3D DIC)
- Quasistatic and dynamic testing speeds, T 23°C





max Seite 11

Experimental Results

Mechanical Behaviour of PPGF30

- Anisotropy
- Strain rate dependency
- Load type dependency (compression-shear-tension)





Experimental Results

Microstructure

- Automatic Algorithm LBF GF-DETECT: analysis of μ CT data
 - 3D representation of each fibre (I, D, Position, Orientation)
 - Description of orientation state of fibres by orientation tensor
 - Through thickness distribution



PPGF30, Plate orientation distribution PPGF30 Planar orientation /– P1 P2 o 🗆 🖞 🕅 🕅 P3 **Boundary Layer** Spatial orientation /– Core Layer €_1 •2¹ **Boundary Layer** Anisotropy degree /-Ρ1 P2 PЗ 0 0.2 0.6 0 0.40.81 normalised specimen thickness / seite 13



2018.01.03_4aTT2018

MATERIAL MODELLING



Material Modelling

Overview

- Section/layer identification from CT data -> derivation of a material modelling layer
- For each layer: derivation of mechanical characteristics from orientation tensor





Modelling of Linear Elastic Behaviour

Overall Homogenization Scheme

Taking into account orientation/ aspect ratio distribution

Virtual decomposition: $\langle \boldsymbol{C} \rangle^{\text{UD}} = f(\frac{l}{d}, \nu^{\text{f}}, C^{\text{m}}, C^{\text{f}})$





max

lateral strain



Modelling of Plastic Behaviour

Transition from microscale to macroscale at yield surface

- relate matrix yield stress to effective yield (Stress concentration tensor)
- SAMP yield function for matrix phase $(\sigma_{\rm vm}^m)^2 A_0 A_1 p A_2 p^2 \le 0$
- Fitting of micromechanical SAMP yield points at arbitrary stress states to macroscopic phenomenological yield surface
 - Tsai Wu $\phi = F_i \sigma_i + F_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j 1 = 0$
 - Will reduce to SAMP yield surface for the case of zero fibre volume fraction
 - Anisotropic and asymmetric

 $\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{pl}, Y_{t,1|2|3}, Y_{c,1|2|3}, Y_{s,23|13|12}, Y_{b,23|13|12}) = 0$



6

Yield Surface





Validation of Micromechanical Model

Reasonable approximation of experimental results over wide range of orientation degrees: (\Box = non UD, \Box ~ UD)





Modelling of Hardening

Phenomenological Approach

- Qualitative similarity of hardening curves (scalability)
- Definition of directional anisotropy comparison value $\xi(A)$: ~Linear scaling factor
 - Correlation: scaling factor <-> anisotropy comparison value





Modelling of Hardening

Strain Rate Dependency

Dynamic loading of different materials, parts and orientations

- Strain rate dependency depends on fibre volume fraction
- Orientation, anisotropy degree influence ~negligible



Strain rate dependency (plast.)

100 /\$ 30/s

3/5

0.0004

Johnson-Cook:

$$Y(\varepsilon_{pl}, \dot{\varepsilon}) = Y_{\text{QS}}(\varepsilon_{pl}) * \left(1 + C(\varepsilon) \ln\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon_0}}\right)\right)$$

Gsell-Jonas:

$$Y(\varepsilon_{pl}, \dot{\varepsilon}) = Y_{QS}(\varepsilon_{pl}) * \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{m(\varepsilon)}$$



IMPLEMENTATION



Material Modell Implementation

Validation with commercial SFRP (30% glass fibres)*

Implementation into explicit LS-Dyna usermat: Input of orientation tensor data via history variables





2018.01.03_4aTT2018

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK



Summary and Outlook

- Mechanical Characterization:
 - Self-compounded Material, injection moulded sample parts
 - Quasi-static and dynamic tests at different orientations and loads, μ -CT Analysis
- Modelling
 - Linear elasticity by Mori-Tanaka and Voigt averaging
 - Macroscopic yield surface from micromechanical assumptions
 - Plasticity model using empirical/ phenomenological approach
 - Simplified approach considering hardening, strain rate dependency and damage, anisotropic yield surface and flow potential
 - Parametrisation methods are applicable to existing material models (mat157)
 - **FE** implementation
 - Explicit usermat, including micromechanical model
 - **Outlook Material Model**
 - Simulation of coupon experiments
 - Integration of failure criterion
 - Application to segmented FE models









LBF

Thank you





Felix Dillenberger, M. Sc.

Group Mechanics and Simulation felix.dillenberger@lbf.fraunhofer.de



Acknowledgements

- We acknowledge the financial support of the German Federation of Industrial Research Associations (AiF) in the context of Industrial Collective Research (IGF), funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag for the projects
 - "Finite-Element-Validation A New Method for Finite-Element-Validation in Crashworthiness Analysis using Optical Sensors" (grant number 59EN of the Forschungsgesellschaft Kunstoffe e. V. (FGK))
 - "Modellierung der orientierungsabhängigen mechanischen Eigenschaften von kohlenstofffaserverstärkten Thermoplastformteilen" (grant number 16712N of the Forschungsgesellschaft Kunstoffe e. V. (FGK))
 - "Phänomenologische Berechnungsstrategie f
 ür kurzfaserverst
 ärkte Spritzgussformteile, (grant number 18362N of the Forschungsgesellschaft Kunstoffe e. V. (FGK))

We express our thanks to the companies present in the committees accompanying the stated projects

Additionally the authors acknowledge the support of the COMET K2 - Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies Programme of the Austrian Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology (bmvit), the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (bmwfw), the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG), the Province of Styria and the Styrian Business Promotion Agency (SFG) for parts of this research (*).

They would furthermore like to express their thanks to their supporting industrial and scientific project partners, namely Magna Steyr Fahrzeugtechnik AG & Co KG, ZKW Lichtsysteme GmbH, Bayerische Motoren Werke AG and Kompetenzzentrum - Das virtuelle Fahrzeug, Forschungsgesellschaft mbH

